



July 11, 2022

Addendum No. 1
Hall of Justice Court Tenant Improvement Project

HALL OF JUSTICE COURT TENANT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA
Project No. P30L1

Issued on: July 11, 2022

TO ALL PROPOSERS:

The following Addendum No. 1 to the above referenced project.

Response to Proposers Questions:

1. Does experience required for our response only include JCC projects or will other county-funded courthouse projects in California qualify?
Response: Experience required for the purposes of this RFPQ shall include projects which are required to comply with the standards and requirements of the JCC regardless of funding source.
2. Are you only qualifying projects in the last 15 years or is the 15 years the minimum experience?
Response: Refer to RFPQ Section 4.1. Yes, 15 years' experience pertaining to JCC court improvement projects is a minimum requirement.
3. Does required experience only consider projects with courtrooms or do other significant renovations and additions to courthouses qualify?
Response: Other significant renovations and additions to courtrooms qualify that were required to comply with the standards and requirements of the JCC.
4. Are you only qualifying projects that have been reviewed by the California Office of the State Fire Marshal (OSFM)?
Response: No
5. Will this project be reviewed by the OSFM or the local building/fire authority?
Response: Refer to RFPQ Section II, Page 6 - bullet point 11.
6. Is there any secure detainee holding that would trigger I-3 occupancy requirements?
Response: N/A



Addendum No. 1

Hall of Justice Court Tenant Improvement Project

7. Is there an ADA transition plan in place for the building or have ADA path of travel improvements outside the area of alteration recently been completed?
Response: There is not an ADA transition plan for the Hall of Justice in place. ADA path of travel improvements outside the areas of alteration, include but not limited to, RFPQ Section II, Page 5 – bullet point 1 (security checkpoint), Page 6 - bullet point 6.
8. For agency approval, we will need to demonstrate that the project was compliant under the code cycle that was enforceable during the initial construction (likely the 1955 UBC) or that it can be made compliant under the current code cycle. For the price proposal, shall we assume that the existing building was compliant and that no improvements outside the area of alteration need to be made?
Response: For this project no improvements intended beyond first floor of Hall of Justice to include existing security checkpoint and ADA path of travel ingress/egress.
9. If you are requesting an alternative price proposal in the event the project is not fully compliant under the original code cycle, can you provide schematic level floor plans of the other floors to help us estimate the additional scope of this work?
Response: Alternative price proposal is not being requested.
10. Are you interested in reviewing resumes for all key personnel, such as the courts planner and CASp, or just the project manager?
Response: Welcomed are all resumes from staff that may be assigned to this project.

Questions regarding this project should be directed to Department of Public Works, 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, California, 94063-1065 (Project Manager Michael Ramirez, mramirez@smcgov.org 650.599.7398)



Addendum No. 1

Hall of Justice Court Tenant Improvement Project

Confirmation of Receipt

This form must be returned with your proposal or received by proposal due date

Addendum No. 1

HALL OF JUSTICE COURT TENANT IMPROVEMENT
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA
Project No. P30L1

Department of Public Works
555 County Center, 5th Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063

This is to confirm that **Addendum No. 1 issued on** _____ has been received and that all information contained in the addendum has been incorporated into the Contractor's proposal.

By Contractors:

Company Name

Authorized Signature

Print Name

Date