

# COUNTY OF SAN MATEO



## **Meeting Procedures**

- This meeting is being recorded and will be posted on the Project website after the meeting.
- Tonight's meeting will start with a presentation, which will be followed by a question-and-answer period.
- We will use the "raise hand" feature in Zoom to facilitate comments and questions.
- During the question-and-answer period you may use the "raise hand" feature to speak.
- If you are joining by phone, use \*9 to raise your hand.
- When you hear your name called, please unmute your microphone and you may begin speaking.
- To get to all the questions. Speakers' questions will be limited to <u>one</u>
   <u>minute</u>. If there is time at the end of the meeting, additional time will be
   granted to speakers.
- Meeting will end promptly at 8 pm.

## **Meeting Agenda**

- Introduction from Director Ann Stillman
- Agenda Review
- Survey Results
- Priority List
- Proposed Road Standards
- Next Steps
- Proposed Project Voting Process
- Default Roadway Standard
- Timeline for Implementation
- Written Questions Received
- Questions and Comments

## **Survey Results**

#### **Enclosure #1**

(to the Property Owner Letter dated February 8, 2024)

#### Survey Results Menlo Oaks Area Road Standards Project

	PERCENT			PRIORITY BASED ON MOST VOTES RECEIVED  "OPTION 1" OPTION 2" OPTION 3" OPTION 4" OPTION 5" OPTION 6"					
	OF								
STREET	TOTAL SURVEYS SENT								
						"OPTION 3"	"OPTION 4"	"OPTION 5"	
			/EMENT	16' with	16' with	18' with	18' with	20' with	20' with
	RESPONSE RATE	"YES"	"NO"	2' Valley Gutters	3' Valley Gutters	2' Valley Gutters	3' Valley Gutters	2' Valley Gutters	3' Valley Gutters
MORE THAN	50% VOTE	ED FOR	IMPR	OVEMENT					
Berkeley Avenue	82%	58%	42%	1	2	3	4	5	6
Colby Avenue	78%	61%	39%	1	2	3	4	5	6
Entrada Way	100%	75%	25%	1	2	3	4	5	6
Madison Way	100%	75%	25%	1	2	3	4	5	6
Menlo Oaks Drive	88%	58%	42%	1	2	3	4	5	6
MORE THAN 50% VOTED FOR NO IMPROVEMENT									
Arlington Way (to Turn)	100%	40%	60%						
Arlington Way (General)	87%	47%	53%	MAINTAIN AS IS					
Peninsula Way	92%	42%	58%						



## **Survey Results**

#### **Enclosure #1**

(to the Property Owner Letter dated February 8, 2024)

# Survey Results Menlo Oaks Area Road Standards Project

**Note 1:** Arlington Way (both segments) and Peninsula Way voted for no improvements. Fredrick Court is already improved with existing concrete rolled curbs. These streets will be included in a future pavement preservation project, which consists of performing pothole/pavement repairs, crack sealing, and seals on the existing traveled roadway surface. Design estimated to begin in 2027 with construction in 2028.

<u>Note 2:</u> The Coleman and Ringwood Avenues Transportation Study is being performed and managed by the Office of Sustainability. The road configuration selected for Ringwood Avenue and Coleman Avenue from this study will be the roadway standards for these two streets. The timeline for construction will be determined at a later date based on availability of funding. Please refer to the project website for more details: <a href="https://www.smcsustainability.org/colemanringwoodwalkbike">https://www.smcsustainability.org/colemanringwoodwalkbike</a>

**Note 3:** Berkeley Avenue, Colby Avenue, Entrada Way, Madison Way and Menlo Oaks Drive voted to adopt new standards. These streets will be constructed based on the priority list. The Department will coordinate with the first street on the priority list to determine their preferred option by sending a survey with the options based on the adopted road standards. We anticipate the first road project design will start in 2027 with construction in 2028, subject to funding availability. In the interim, we will continue to perform potholes/crack sealing as needed.



## **Priority List**

## Enclosure #2 (to the Property Owner Letter dated February 8, 2024)

# Priority List of Project Menlo Oaks Area Road Standards Project

PRIORITY LIST METHODOLOGY							
Combined Priority	Street	Prioritization Category	Average PCI	Proximity to School or Public Facilities			
1	Menlo Oaks Drive	#1 Drainage Issue	34	NO			
2	Berkeley Avenue	#2 Drainage Issue	44	YES			
3	Colby Avenue	#3 Drainage Issue	35	YES			
4	Madison Way	PCI	42	NO			
5	Entrada Way	PCI	79	NO			

**Note 1:** For roads that have voted to adopt roadway standards, these roads were prioritized based on three ranked elements. The primary ranked element is drainage, followed by pavement condition index (PCI), and finally proximity to school/public facilities. Since drainage is a major concern for many residents in the Menlo Oaks area, the Department prioritized the roads based on analysis of the existing severity of drainage issues as shown by the topographic surface data.



## **Proposed Road Standards**

- OPTION 1 Reconstruct the road to a width of 16-feet with 2-foot-wide valley gutters on each side.
- **OPTION 2 -** Reconstruct the road to a width of 16-feet with 3-foot-wide valley gutters on each side.
- OPTION 3 Reconstruct the road to a width of 18-feet with 2-foot-wide valley gutters on each side.
- OPTION 4 Reconstruct the road to a width of 18-feet with 3-foot-wide valley gutters on each side.
- MAINTAIN AS IS Include in the Pavement Preservation Project, which
  consists of performing pothole/pavement repair, crack seal, and seals on
  the existing traveled roadway surface. The existing width of the road and
  drainage pattern will remain the same. Pothole repair and crack seal will be
  performed as needed between Pavement Preservation Project cycle.

## **Next Steps**

Final road standards and priority list (for roads that voted for standards) will be presented to the Board of Supervisors (Board) for adoption tentatively May 7, 2024

➤ Only Board can change the road standards and priority list after adoption.

## **Proposed Project Voting Process**

- ➤ Limits of the adopted options marked on the street to clearly show where the project impact is
- > Tree impact analysis will be provided
- Send survey to each street
- ➤ Hold a public meeting to present the project options and analysis and to answer questions
- Survey results on a block-by-block basis
  - ➤ Weighted by frontage
  - ➤ Non-responsive property owners considered "NO" vote
  - ➤ Option with >50% vote will be constructed

## **Default Roadway Standard**

 OPTION 1 - Reconstruct the road to a width of 16-feet with 2-foot-wide valley gutters on each side.

## **Timeline for Implementation**

- "Adopt Road Standards"
  - County plans to construct one project per year, so it will take several years to complete the list.
  - Design would start in 2027 with construction in 2028, survey will be sent out in 2027, subject to funding availability.
  - Will continue to perform potholes/crack sealing prior to 2028 for all roads within Menlo Oaks.

## **Timeline for Implementation**

### "Maintain As Is"

- County will include all the streets that voted to "maintain as is" into our Pavement Preservation Project.
- Current work plan shows 4-8 years before we would do a project in Menlo Oaks. The earliest we can come into the neighborhood is 2028, subject to funding availability.
- After the 2028 Project, County will not perform another pavement preservation project for 12-15 years.
- County will continue to perform potholes/crack sealing prior to 2028, and in between cycles.

Q1 to Q7 were requested to be read in this order.

Q1: Attached please find a picture of the *status quo* on my block. This is on the 800 block of Menlo Oaks Drive. **When will these specific potholes be repaired?** Other potholes in Menlo Oaks? Soonest the work can be done? Latest? How do we speed that up?



R1: Please contact our Roads Division at (650) 363-4103 for pothole repair questions. Visit their website <a href="https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/roads">https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/roads</a> for more information and file a specific work request such as pothole repair in their "Maintstar" system which allow you to track and monitor the status of your requests.

- Q2: Why was the following clarifying language removed between the County's 11/6/23 draft ballot and its final 11/13/23 ballot?: "If you checked "No" ... Public Works will [still] perform pothole/pavement repair, crack seal, and seals on the existing traveled roadway surface."
- R2: This language was removed during the implementation of other changes based on community input. We have provided detailed clarification and explanation of the "NO" option and the meaning of "Maintain As Is" in the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) document and during December 6, 2023, Community Meeting #2 presentation. Letters containing this information were also mailed to all property owners. All relevant materials, including the FAQ, meeting recordings, presentations and letters are posted on our project website for reference at any time.

The topic of continued maintenance was clarified on multiple occasions prior to the deadline for submitting the ballots. We have made it clear during meetings and in writing that we will continue to maintain the roads regardless of which options is selected by the community. We also offered the opportunity for property owners to change their votes if information from Community Meeting #2 changed their minds. We do not believe this issue had any significant effect on the voting results.



Q3: Was removal of this clarifying language based (as you indicate was the case with other changes) on neighborhood input? If so, what was that input? Who specifically provided it?

R3: Please refer to previous answer R2 regarding this topic.

Q4: Why did the County leave most of our streets in such obviously bad shape for so long? Why not fix them before the vote?

R4: The roads in Menlo Oaks are on the Pavement Preservation cycle and are scheduled to be completed in 2028. We have a systematic approach to pavement preservation and are following this approach that is based on geographical areas. There have been previous discussions on the condition in the Menlo Oaks areas that ultimately led to this road standard survey process being developed and funded by the County Supervisors. The Department does not find it beneficial to allocate funds for pavement preservation at this time, given the ongoing process of establishing roadway standards. With a limited budget, we believe it would not be prudent to invest in pavement preservation in Menlo Oaks while awaiting the adoption of road standards. Our priority is to efficiently manage maintenance for the 316 miles of roadways under our responsibility and budget accordingly.

Q5: When the County undertook to phone those who voted before the 12/6/23 second Zoom call, is this, essentially, the message that the County communicated (or left on voicemail) in those calls?: "Hi \_\_\_\_\_ this is \_\_\_\_\_ calling from County of San Mateo Public Works. I'm calling regarding the Menlo Oaks Road standards. Our record indicates you have submitted your survey before our community meeting number two on December 6th. If you would like to revise your survey, please call us back at 650 -363-4100 or email us again. We just want to check to see if you would like to provide [SIC? revise?] your survey. If you do, please call us back or email us. Thank you."

If there was an actual script for these calls, can you please let us have it? Also, can you please let us know how many such calls were made?

R5: Yes, the wording above is essentially the message provided in our phone call or e-mail communication. We did not use a script but made it clear that if property owners wanted to change their vote, they have that option. We contacted 100 property owners by either email or phone call.



- Q6: Atherton appears to have avoided "valley gutters" (and the resulting 4-6 feet of added street widening) for at least one of its recently fixed streets. Why were we in Menlo Oaks not offered that option? How, in any event, do we achieve that outcome? Who do we need to talk with about it?
- R6: Atherton's standard is to maintain as-is with no valley gutters and does not include any road reconstruction standards. This is essentially the "Maintain As-Is" option on our survey. Based on the requirements of the Regional Water Board, green infrastructure areas would be required. If roadway standards are adopted, we need a way to convey water to green infrastructure areas, and valley gutters are the best option for this.
- Q7: When are the "block-by-block" votes promised by the County expected to occur?
- R7: As mentioned in the December 6, 2023 zoom presentation, we anticipate the first road project design will start in 2027 with construction in 2028, subject to funding availability.



Q8: As soon as possible -- and well before when those "block-by-block" votes are to occur -- will you please let us know (a) what specific trees on each block are at any risk of removal, (b) whether and to what extent you can and will build valley gutters further from tree roots than was done in North Fair Oaks, and (c) how far and exactly where on each block you plan on moving the road within the county right of way?

R8: Yes, these information will be provided prior to the block-by-block votes in 2027.

Q9: Please clarify: For the next voting round that is block by block, will one option be for No improvement?

R9: Yes. The next voting for specific project construction will be block-by-block with "Maintain As Is" as one of the options.



Q10: In the results, it states that for Arlington and Peninsula Way (where homeowners voted against changing the roads). These streets (Arlington and Peninsula) will be included in a future pavement preservation project, which consists of performing pothole/pavement repairs, crack sealing, and seals on the existing traveled roadway surface. Design estimated to begin in 2027 with construction in 2028." This is the SAME timeline that is promised for the complete NEW roads project for other roads in Menlo Oaks?? We had certainly believed that pavement preservation would come sooner than completely new roads. Why this DELAYED timeline for pavement preservation only on those streets (Arlington and Peninsula)?

R10: As mentioned in Meeting #2, County will perform potholes repair/crack sealing prior to 2028 on Arlington and Peninsula Way before the construction of the pavement preservation project in 2028. The Pavement Preservation Project is part of the Countywide maintenance program that schedules a portion of all County-maintained roads each year for the pavement repairs and surface seal (such as slurry seal) work. The current work plan for this work for your area is estimated to be in 2028 and has not changed.



- Q11: Can you confirm how the household that did NOT send a response were counted in the results? The way I'm reading the table it seems to count the Yes/No from the responses that were returned.
- R11: The households that did not send a response are counted as "No" and included in the "No" percentage column.
- Q12: What has changed to support the updated approach street by street?
- R12: As mentioned in the December 6, 2023 zoom presentation, the decision was made to allow property owners the ability to vote on what happens on the street they live on and not be affected by others who do not live or travel on their specific street. Roads in Menlo Oaks vary significantly in width which make some options more or less applicable to each street.
- Q13: Did the Board of Supervisors adopt any resolution to approve this vote, and if not, why not?
- R13: The Board of Supervisors did not adopt a resolution to approve a specific voting procedure for the previous road standard survey done in 1998 or this current survey. The Department is responsible for performing the survey in a manner that is best suited for the effort and community.



- Q14: Is our neighborhood seeing promised progress from the County NOW on our very-obvious pothole problem?
- R14: Please refer to previous answer R1 regarding this topic.
- Q15: Is our neighborhood seeing progress on traffic controls? Street widening proponents stated that County "work orders" related to such traffic controls had been obtained. What did they cover? I think I see one new 25 mph sign on my block. Will there be more steps? Or is that it?
- Q15: Please contact our Roads Division at (650) 363-4103 for traffic related questions. Visit their website <a href="https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/roads">https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/roads</a> for more information and file a specific work request in their "Maintstar" system which allow you to track and monitor the status of your requests.

- Q16: How are the housing developments now being planned for Middlefield anticipated to drive up traffic through our neighborhood? By some recent reports, there could be up to 2500 new residences (and some new retail and businesses) cumulatively built on the Sunset Magazine, USGS and SRI sites off Middlefield. Even if these recent reports are too high by a factor of ten, the new homes built off Middlefield will certainly exceed the number currently in Menlo Oaks. This is a topic no one (myself included) addressed before the first survey vote. We should consider it before the next vote.
- R16: Traffic Impact Reports are completed for the developments that include impacts to adjacent roadways. All this information is reviewed/approved by the County's Planning and Building Department or City of Menlo Park, depending on location of the development and open for public comments.

For the development located at Middlefield and Ringwood, that is being managed by the City of Menlo Park. Menlo Park Website: <a href="https://menlopark.gov/Government/Departments/Community-Development/Projects/Under-review/Parkline">https://menlopark.gov/Government/Departments/Community-Development/Projects/Under-review/Parkline</a>

The comment period is still open, and you are all encouraged to provide comments to Menlo Park at the above link.



- Q17: We should also keep an eye on how -- even without these Middlefield developments -- traffic in our neighborhood is changing. Berkeley has now been significantly improved. Are there more cars there already? Are they driving faster? Can the County tell us about how traffic that otherwise would go from 101 up (now-very-crowded) Willow is being diverted into our neighborhood?
- R17: We do not monitor traffic patterns to this level of detail. We have not received any recent complaints from the residents on Berkeley Ave regarding increased traffic volume or speed as a result of the most recent project. We have not modeled traffic from Willow to be able to provide you with a response. However, we do not believe these roadway improvements would be significant enough to attract an increased volume of traffic through the neighborhood.

- Q18: Are the North Fair Oaks streets comparable to ours? Does North Fair Oaks have traffic controls that we lack -- and are unlikely to get? Did the County do a good job in North Fair Oaks protecting the (relatively small number of) street-side trees there? Or did the County build valley gutters too close to the root systems of those trees? Are the "valley gutters" there getting blocked with leaves and adding to pooled water after a rainstorm? Most fundamentally, do we want our streets to look like these newly-rebuilt North Fair Oaks streets?
- R18: County recognizes that North Fair Oaks streets are different than Menlo Oaks streets as Menlo Oaks streets are generally narrower. The 16 feet wide road options with 2 or 3 feet valley gutters were proposed only for the Menlo Oaks community. 18 feet wide road options with 2 or 3 feet valley gutters are the narrowest options for North Fair Oaks. County will work with an arborist to assess and protect all healthy trees that would be affected by road improvements and design roads around trees as much as possible.

Q19a: Why was the final wording changed on the ballot from what was in the Meeting #1 presentation and on whose input?

R19a: Please refer to previous answer R2 regarding this topic.

Q19b: What process will be implemented moving forward to make sure that does not happen again?

R19b: The Department have standard language that we use for the street-bystreet survey.

Q20: What is the best process to implement available road surfacing options now to repair potholes? What is the time required for these improvements? We should not wait for further voting in order to make available safety improvements.

R20: Please refer to previous answer R1 regarding this topic.

Q21: How will the county solicit homeowner input moving forward?

R21: The Department remains committed to transparency in disseminating critical information. When the block-by-block survey process is started, information will be provided through letters, Zoom meetings, and our website to reach the entire neighborhood.

- Q22: Please clarify: For the next voting round that is block by block, will one option be for No improvement.
- R22: Yes, one of the options for the future block-by-block voting will be Maintain As-Is.
- Q23: If, for example, the residents of the Menlo Oaks District Association did not want valley gutters, would the County refuse to widen the streets?
- R23: For the Menlo Oaks community, one of the options for the future block-by-block voting will be Maintain As-Is without valley gutters. The four options that the Department is taking to the Board for adoption all include valley gutters.

- Q24: How does the County anticipate paying for a major road upgrade program in Menlo Oaks given that the State of California is projecting a \$73 billion budget shortfall, and a large portion of the County's budget comes from interdepartmental funds?
- R24: Funding is a major consideration for future road improvement projects. As mentioned in previous presentation, project can only be performed subject to available funding. Funding for these types of projects is from gas tax revenue and SB1 funds. We continue to track the State budget yearly and adjust as needed based on projections for anticipated revenue.
- Q25: Why did the County change the wording of the survey between the preliminary draft of the survey and the final draft?
- R25: Please refer to previous answer R2 regarding this topic.

- Q26: Will the County voluntarily move any USPS mailboxes that must be replaced because of their proximity to the roads to be improved or is this a cost that the residents are expected to bear?
- R26: Mailboxes are considered encroachment and therefore will be property owners' responsibility for relocation.
- Q27: Why don't you just do what you did to Berkeley Ave and put new asphalt down?
- R27: Berkeley Avenue received an asphalt rubberized chip seal treatment, not new asphalt, which is one of the treatments included in the Pavement Preservation Project. Roads that voted "No" for road standards and for Maintain As-Is in future surveys will be included in a future Pavement Preservation Project cycle.

- Q28: Can you guarantee no trees will be affected by any road improvements?
- R28: No, the Department will perform all necessary analysis and procedures to protect all healthy trees. The County will work with an arborist to assess and protect all healthy trees that would be affected by road improvements and design roads around trees as much as possible. However, we cannot guarantee that a tree may not be affected.
- Q29: Why are the potholes not fixed immediately, they have been there a long time.
- R29: Please refer to previous answer R1 regarding this topic.
- Q30: Has the Department of Public Works (DPW) developed a mapping plan of the current street trees in Menlo Oaks that may be affected? If not, why not? If one has been developed, please share it with the residents.
- R30: Tree analysis report performed by an arborist will be provided for each project survey specific to each street as many factors vary, such as existing street width, location, type, and health of trees, street alignment and grade. Please refer to the table that was developed and presented in the Community Meeting #2 and available on the Project website.

- Q31: Has an arborist been involved to date in ascertaining which trees will be affected? Will one be involved? What are the DPW's plans to share the arborist's report with the residents?
- R31: No, we have not yet discussed specific tree impacts with an arborist. The Department has had high level discussions on roadway construction impacts to trees. Please refer to previous answer regarding plans for future arborist report and this was covered in the presentation.

- Q32: Has the DPW determined how each of the differing discrete street widths will impact the health and life of these individual trees?
- R32: Please refer to previous answer R30 regarding this topic.

- Q33: Will the neighborhood have access to maintenance assistance and treatment for damaged trees following construction provided by the County at no expense to the individual property owners?
- R33: The Department will work to limit impacts to trees as we have discussed in the presentation and previous question responses. The Department will not provide maintenance assistance for damaged tree. Please refer to the County website at <a href="https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/informational-tree-brochure">https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/informational-tree-brochure</a> for County and Property Owner responsibilities related to trees within the right of way.
- Q34: Has there been an engineering report regarding the water flow in the neighborhood? If not, why not? If there has been one, will the DPW make it available to the residents? (For example, is the DPW merely adding valley gutters or are there plans for water diversion, i.e., piping, water catchment basins, etc.?)
- R34: Drainage analysis will be performed for each project. In addition to valley gutters, catch basins and underground green infrastructure will be included to improve drainage and meet State requirements.

Q35: The neighborhood was assured by the DPW that any survey that was not returned would be counted as a "NO" vote. Please provide a detailed report on how many unreturned surveys per street were counted as NO. For example, if Street A has 40 property owners, how many surveys in total were returned? Of these surveys, how many surveys were either YES or NO votes?

R35: Please refer to the table on next page.

R35:

		IMPROVEMENT						
	TOTAL	"YES"	"NO"					
STREET	SURVEYS	123	Voted "NO"	Did not return survey				
MORE THAN 50% VOTED FOR IMPROVEMENT								
Berkeley Avenue	74	43	18	13				
Colby Avenue	18	11	3	4				
Entrada Way	4	3	1	0				
Madison Way	4	3	1	0				
Menlo Oaks Drive	91	53	27	11				
MORE THAN 50% VOTED FOR NO IMPROVEMENT								
Arlington Way (to Turn)	5	2	3	0				
Arlington Way (General)	30	14	12	4				
Peninsula Way	12	5	6	1				

- Q36: Are there copies available of the topo survey that BKF prepared for the Menlo Oaks Road Standards Project? Could you tell me how I might see those or possibly get a copy? Thanks.
- R36: A copy of the topographical survey can be provided. A disclaimer will need to be signed prior to receiving the survey information.
- Q37: Is the combination valley gutter and green infrastructure component required by the State of California or the Federal Government to mitigate spillage into the San Francisco Bay?
- R37: Installing green infrastructure is required by the State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region Municipal Regional Stormwater National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit. It also falls under the Clean Water Act from the Federal level. The intent is to limit discharge from pollutants to the San Francisco Bay and other surface waters like rivers, streams, lakes and coastal waters.
- Q38: Is it possible for the Menlo Oaks community to look online at easement or Right of Way boundaries that BKF engineering may have created for the County in anticipation of the Roads Standards work?
- R38: See response to the topographical question above.

- Q39: In front of 840 Berkeley Ave there is a magnificent Oak Tree that is actually partly in Berkeley Ave. Would it be possible for the County staff during the Zoom meeting Feb 28<sup>th</sup>, 2024 to speak directly to the probable future of that tree in respect to the 16 foot roadways and two-2 foot Valley Gutters that seem to be incompatible with that fantastic Oak Tree?
- R39: This analysis will be performed when the block-by-block project is started.
- Q40: If any homeownership on Arlington Way changes in the near future (before work commences), could those new property owners have a say on the future of our street?
- R40: No, only the Board can change adopted Road Standards and Priority List and we will not be re-surveying property owners as home ownerships change.
- Q41: Why was it considered fair/legal that the home at address 200 Arlington Way has two votes, yet it is only one house/property/ownership?
- R41: Votes are per frontage as the improvement will affect the road immediately adjacent to their property.

- Q42: Can the 13% that did not respond be approached again?
- R42: Assuming you are referring to the Arlington Way (General Area). No, the survey deadline has passed, and we do not plan on reaching out to any property owners who did not return a survey. Only the Board can change adopted Road Standards and Priority List.
- Q43: Once the entire neighborhood is improved with new standards, is there any way Arlington Way can be added on in the future?
- R43: Only the Board can change adopted Road Standards and Priority List. The Department would need direction and funding from the Board to review or adopt any new roadway standards for remaining roads.
- Q44: Is there any follow-up vote that would allow the vote for one street to potentially be changed from the December vote?
- R44: Only the Board can change adopted Road Standards and Priority List. There are no current plans for a follow up vote to change results.

## Question?

- That concludes the presentation for tonight. At this time, we would like to hear from you, our community, so we will now move to the question-and-answer portion of the meeting.
- We ask that anyone wishing to ask a question or comment to raise their hand in Zoom.
- To get to all the questions. Speakers' questions will be limited to one minute.
- Please limit your time to question not comment so we can answer everyone's questions
- For individuals participating in the meeting by telephone, you can dial \*9 to raise your hand.
- We will begin calling on raised hands. When you hear your name called, please unmute your microphone to speak.
- If you are calling in, you can unmute your phone using \*6.



# Thank You! Please Stay Involved

#### **Contact:**

John Schabowski jschabowski@smcgov.org (650) 363-4100 Wency Ng wng@smcgov.org (650) 363-4100

#### **Project Website:**

https://www.smcgov.org/publicworks/Menlo-oaks-road-standards

#### **Subscribe for Email Updates!**

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/CASMATEO/subscriber/new?topic\_id=CASMATEO\_357

# **COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**

