

Written Comments for
Public Comment Section
-Non-Agenda Items
- Regular Agenda Items

Non-Agenda Items

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Trena L. Patton <tlpsf49@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2024, 11:47 AM
To: Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller; Warren Slocum; CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: ICAC Meeting-Nov 6

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hello Supervisors and Commissioners,

I write to you with a concern about behavior by the Sheriff's office at a recent ICAC meeting. The Sheriff's department did a presentation on the Professional Standards Bureau (staffing, recruitment, employee wellness).

Somehow after the presentation was complete and the commissioners were asking questions, both Sheriff Corpus and Undersheriff Perea joined in the discussion. The Sheriff joined in on Zoom to talk about the child care center that she wants to implement and the Undersheriff without invitation took a seat at the table to speak about why the incarcerated persons cannot have physical mail in the jails. Neither their presence nor their participation was on the agenda. As a community member, I believe this participation by the Sheriff and Undersheriff was completely inappropriate. Whatever their motives were, it was clear to me that they had their own agenda to control the direction of the meeting and the presentation. Additionally, there was an obvious attempt to discuss the childcare center project that has been apparently put on pause by the county manager.

It is exactly this type of behavior by the Sheriff and Undersheriff that calls for a truly INDEPENDENT civilian board with an Inspector General. They used this meeting to attempt to further their own agenda. They are treating this advisory commission as an extended function of the Sheriff's office. This behavior should not be allowed and needs to be halted immediately.

I have participated in person at every single ICAC meeting since they began and will continue to do so. I seriously hope the Sheriff and her office are admonished for their behavior and have it made clear to them that it will not be tolerated.

Sincerely,

Trena L. Patton
Belmont, District 3

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Rhonda Reyna <rhondareyna27@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 17, 2024, 9:30 AM
To: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Point of Order

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear ICAC,

The mission statement of this new Commission claims it's goals are to:

- 1) facilitate transparency
- 2) accountability
- 3) public engagement
- 4) provide a public forum for input from the community

Yet the Chair has set the time for Public Comment to barely 1 minute. This is an egregious stifling of the public's ability to meaningfully engage with this Commission and no cohesive comments can be expressed well enough in only one minute.

As I have witnessed in many commission meetings, the outcomes have been pre-planned and the public's opposition ignored. Which would be Brown Act Violations because someone set up the presentations in order to "pass" a pre-conceived agenda item without voting down "agendized" initiatives that the public obviously opposed. An example of this is the Domestic Violence Council's efforts to pass a "Family Justice Center" which received huge opposition from Domestic Violence Survivors.

The Domestic Violence Commission's response for the October 10, 2024 meeting, was to perform a Brown Act Violation by refusing to provide the video of that meeting when requested under the Public Records Request Act, in order to conceal the Public Record from the public.

As a remedy and an effort to provide your stated PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT, We the People request public comment time of 5 minutes so that in the Spirit of transparent public engagement, we can provide our viewpoints thoughtfully and our wishes can be voted in.

Regards,
Rhonda Reyna

Sent from my iPhone

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Rhonda Reyna <rhondareyna27@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 17, 2024, 1:07 PM
To: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Public Comment Nov 20 2024 ICAC Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Public Comment November 20, 2024 San Mateo County Independent Civilian Advisory Commission on the Sheriff's Office

The San Mateo County Sheriff's Office has a systemic culture of hatred of women and children. The witchhunt against Sheriff Cristina Corpus following the \$8million settlement of Detective Carryn Barker, displays the obvious bias against women, discrimination against female sheriff staff and civilians, and reprehensibly, unequal access to justice.

Let me remind you that the prior male sheriffs, Carlos Bolanos and Greg Munks, were caught by FBI Operation Dollhouse during a raid of a brothel of sex trafficked women and minors. Neither of these male Sheriffs lost their jobs over obviously criminal behavior. I don't care who Corpus is intimate with as long as it's a consenting adult.

Barker's lawsuit referenced a previous female whistleblower who revealed pornography being shared on Sheriff servers and reported by the late Michael Stogner of San Mateo County News.

I am a female victim of this systemic hatred of women and children by law enforcement where the SMCSO is responsible for my suffocation and my daughter's strangulation and our violent kidnappings under color of law because of SMCSO deputies Gerono Urena, Sgt. William Young, Detective Joe Fava, District Attorney Inspector James Haggarty and many others who refused to prosecute my abuser, a rich white man, for domestic violence. Young removed Barker off my case, Fava told me they would not investigate, Urena and Haggarty falsified evidence against me, committed perjury, filed false police reports and concealed exculpatory evidence. They DARVO'd me.

My Federal Lawsuit and the complaints of dozens of other woman at the San Mateo County Domestic Violence Council meetings, provide ample evidence that the systemic hatred, discrimination and unequal access to justice for all females, is rampant at the Sheriff's Office which Cristina Corpus claims to be cleaning up. There can be no transparency if reports, such as the one written against Cristina Corpus remain biased and dishonest. If Corpus is cleaning up the good ole' boys club, there is motive to harm her. Supervisor Noelia Corzo displays contempt for women of domestic violence, as evidenced by her behavior at the DVC meetings. Noelia Corzo is untrustworthy and is the one who should be resigning.

Here are the videos of my daughter's violent strangulation and kidnapping under color of law, and the link to my Federal Lawsuit, which was dismissed, but contains ample opportunity to investigate the behavior of all male Sheriff Staff who's actions led to my daughter's horrific, videotaped strangulation by men in law enforcement displaying a disgusting culture of hatred towards women and children and a gross imbalance of power.

911 calls: Man Strangling a Woman-Failure of San Mateo Sheriff to protect DV victims

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fDWZzOj0fJo&t=1s>

Law Enforcement's Response to Children Who Report Domestic Violence

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RJbxDpNeAqs>

Community Members demand to Board of Supervisors for Accountability of Police Abuse of a child

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gpnzG3K36rk>

1st Amended Complaint of Federal Lawsuit, Reyna v City of Santa Cruz et.al

https://drive.google.com/file/d/13k_JccNPYi2bKPIQ_RmLaPjdE-pVY0y/edit

I request that anyone who reads this public comment and the attached documents, opens honest and transparent investigations, and brings indictments and prosecutions on all the bad actors. Clean up the San Mateo Sheriff's Office and The San Mateo District Attorney's Office. Kick out the Good Ole' Boys Club and bring Equal access to justice for all women.

Regards

Rhonda Reyna- One of The People of California

Sent from my iPhone

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Me Too Moms Family Court <metoomoms@proton.me>
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2024, 3:46PM
To: rhondareyna27@gmail.com
Cc: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Public Comment Nov 20 2024 ICAC Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

While you may choose to believe Corpus is the target of a witch hunt, which is your right, I was very close with Stogner and to those who sought to weed out the corruption in that department and those with the information on her that wanted change and had evidence of her being part of the cabal way before she was elected. She is just like the woman Judges...a criminal. Anyway, will release an official statement, but what has she done for any of the victims of crime since getting in office? She promised to help Ella and after she was elected she dodged my phone calls and fired all the people who wanted the corruption cleaned up. Just FYI. She is NOT innocent. She is Rachel Holt with a badge. That is vetted from trusted inside sources. MetooMoms supports her removal.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Rhonda Reyna <rhondareyna27@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2024, 9:23 AM
To: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Point of Order: Published Written Comment

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Why haven't all the written public comments, including Point of Order, been posted to the ICAC meeting website ahead of tonight's November 20, 2024 meeting?

They were due yesterday at 4pm so I expected to see them up last night.

Regards
Rhonda Reyna
Sent from my iPhone

Regular Agenda Items

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Rhonda Reyna <rhondareyna27@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2024, 12:55 PM
To: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Agenda Item 4: Judge's "Independent" Report

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Public Comment for Agenda Item 4: Judge's Independent Report

The Court of Public Opinion is the highest in the land. As a former forensic scientist who has testified as a qualified expert witness in four subject areas, in two Counties, including here in San Mateo County, I am educated on rules of evidence.

The report submitted is hugely deficient on face value. The Judge alleged to have interviewed some 44 women but refuses to provide any names for verification.

Additionally, in classic San Mateo County fashion, the Judge also states that she did not record the interviews and thus only has inadmissible hearsay, which is not evidence as a matter of law.

No worse individual could have been selected to produce a report than a judge because as we have all seen in family courts across the nations, no "judge" is trustworthy. These black robe death cultists sell children for child rape, child torture and murder, while wearing black robes in homage to their now obvious, Synagogue of Satan.

I find it biblical that the name "Cristina Corpus" literally translates to "Body of Christ". So is this an intentional satanic sacrifice of another Christ metaphor?

I find it very difficult to believe that 44 women sworn to uphold the Constitution and wearing badges and carrying guns, are so afraid that they did not want to share their names or record their alleged testimony. This makes them cowards, and Law Enforcement has no place for cowards.

I, in contrast, am an unarmed woman who regularly exercises my 1st Amendment right to redress of recorded grievances at many public meetings. I was not given a Spirit of fear, but instead I was given the same Spirit that was in young King David when he faced off with Goliath.

San Mateo County, aka Silicon Valley, has the spirit of Goliath and we all know how that historical event ended.

As part of the Court of Public Opinion, I declare the judge's report to lack credibility and not worthy of unseating the current Sheriff. The judge cannot provide any proof for what any of the alleged interviewees said.

The remedy would be to have the common law Grand Jury investigate child trafficking, bribery, falsification of

CEO_ICACFeedback

police reports to family court judges, perjury and concealment of exculpatory evidence perpetrated by any and all staff of the San Mateo Sheriff's Office under the current Corpus Administration as well as the past Bolanos, Munks and Horsely administrations for the purpose of selling children who report rape, to child rape/torture/murder and child rape movie rings here in Silicon Valley in collusion with family court attorneys and members of the San Mateo District Attorney's Office.

Once that Common Law Grand Jury report is legitimately produced with recorded and named interviewees, can any Sheriff be removed.

Respectfully,

Rhonda Reyna- One of the People of California

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Me Too Moms Family Court <metoomoms@proton.me>
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2024, 7:18 PM
To: rhondareyna27@gmail.com
Cc: CEO_ICACFeedback
Subject: Agenda Item 4: Judge's "Independent" Report

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Pat says that Judge Cordell is dirty A.F. She knew who she was before I even said her name. I just said she was a retired black woman Judge from Santa Clara. Now I know for a fact Corpus is dirty A.F. BUT you are correct as per the hearsay and "investigation" being unconstitutional. Women and children are in a worse or just as bad position under Corpus. However, she does have a right, IMHO to face her accusers even if she's guilty. Cordell supported Persky and Cordell attacked the victim of the Stanford rapist case...Persky was a Judge who hated women. He let the Stanford rapist off. They tried to recall him. Anyway, look up Persky and Cordell connection. She defended a Judge who was screwing over women victims of rape for the club.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

CEO_ICACFeedback

From: Nancy Goodban <tlfpsf49@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2024, 11:52 AM
To: CEO_ICACFeedback
Cc: Jim Lawrence <jtlawrence94404@yahoo.com>
Subject: Nov 20 ICAC public comment agenda item 4

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Chair Salahuddin, Vice Chair McClure, and Commissioners:

Thank you for your discussion of the report by Judge LaDoris Cordell.

We hope that you will recommend that the Board of Supervisors appoint a **permanent Inspector General**, as President Warren Slocum said at the November 13 Board meeting that he supported. This would provide a structural opportunity to hear concerns, independent of the Sheriff's Office, and help to prevent future liability.

In addition, we hope that you recommend that the Board **strengthen ICAC**, with duties to look at policies, procedures, patterns, take complaints, and the authority to obtain any necessary information from the Sheriff's Office upon request.

Thank you for all that you do.

1.

Nancy

[Nancy Goodban](#)

[Fixin' SMC](#)

www.FixinSMC.org

nancy.goodban@gmail.com